

Question 1:

If a tiller is bent as a result of a foul but remains functional for the rest of the race, can it be considered damage under D3.3(a), and will the team be penalized with a 0.5 race win deduction?

No

Question 2:

If the host replaces the tiller after the race described in Question 1, does that change whether it is considered damage under D3.3(a) and will the team be penalized with a 0.5 race win deduction?

No

Question 3:

If a tiller is bent or broken due to a foul and cannot be used to continue the race, does this constitute damage under D3.3(a), and will the team be penalized with a 0.5 race win deduction?

Perhaps

Question 4:

If a tiller extension is bent as a result of a foul but remains functional for the rest of the race, can it be considered damage under D3.3(a), and will the team be penalized with a 0.5 race win deduction?

No

Question 5:

If the host replaces the tiller after the race described in Question 1, does that change whether it is considered damage under D3.3(a) and will the team be penalized with a 0.5 race win deduction?

No

Question 6:

If a tiller extension is bent or broken due to a foul and cannot be used to continue the race, does this constitute damage under D3.3(a), and will the team be penalized with a 0.5 race win deduction?

Perhaps

Question 7:

If a foul causes a gelcoat chip approximately the size of a quarter, does this qualify as damage under D3.3(a), and will the team be penalized with a 0.5 race win deduction?

No, we will be using the guidance from WS Case 141.